

Student Disciplinary Actions: 2024-2025

Presented to the Council of the University Senate on March 3, 2026

Mike Hayes

Dean of Students in the University

Annually, the Council of the University Senate asks Campus and Student Life to provide a report of all student disciplinary proceedings, as required by actions taken by the Council on May 23, 1970 and June 8, 1976.

I. Area Disciplinary Systems

Campus and Student Life reports to the Council on disciplinary matters that have occurred in the academic units during the year. Between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025, [Area Disciplinary Committees](#) were convened to consider allegations brought against 21 students in 18 separate hearings.

In the **College**, 9 disciplinary hearings were convened involving 13 separate students. The Area Disciplinary Committee (ADC) concluded 12 students were responsible for violating University Policy and 1 was not responsible. Five students submitted a request for review, but none meet the criteria for convening a Review Board.

1. Four students were charged with violating the alcohol policy, behavior that threatens the health and safety of others, theft, and general misconduct. Specifically, the students unlawfully entered another university's sports facilities and attempted to steal sports memorabilia. The ADC concluded all four students were responsible for theft and violating the alcohol policy, two of the students were additionally responsible for general misconduct, and none of the students were responsible for behavior that threatens the health and safety of others. The ADC issued disciplinary probation through graduation for all four students and added 15 hours of community service for two students. One student requested a review of the ADC's decision, but it did not meet the criteria for review.
2. A student was charged with cheating and academic dishonesty, specifically the student cheated on a final exam. The ADC concluded the student was responsible and issued disciplinary suspension for four quarters. The student requested a review of the ADC's decision, but it did not meet the criteria for review.
3. A student was charged with lying and general misconduct, specifically the student altered an email from an instructor to gain permission to enroll in a class that was at capacity. The ADC concluded the student was responsible and issued disciplinary probation until graduation.
4. A student was charged with physical abuse, behavior that threatens the health and safety of others, not following the directive of a University official, and general

misconduct. Specifically, the student kicked a Chicago Police Department officer's leg to move the officer off balance and then fled from the police officers who were attempting to detain the student. The ADC concluded that the student was responsible for physical abuse, behavior that threatens the health and safety of others, and general misconduct. The ADC issued disciplinary suspension for six quarters. The student requested a review of the ADC's decision, but it did not meet the criteria for review.

5. A student was charged with vandalism, not following the directive of a University official, and general misconduct. Specifically, the student was throwing paint balloons at a statue located near Max Palevsky Residence Hall. The ADC concluded the student was responsible for vandalism and issued disciplinary probation for four quarters.
6. A student was charged with physical abuse, verbal abuse, behavior that threatens the health and safety of others, not following the directive of a University official, and violating an administrative department's regulations. Specifically, the student attempted to enter Regenstein Library after hours, yelled at and pushed past the library attendant who stated the library was closed, jumped over the entry point, and ran to the library's basement. The ADC concluded the student was responsible for all the allegations and issued an expulsion.
7. A student was charged with physical abuse, behavior that threatens the health and safety of others, not following the directive of a University official, and general misconduct. Specifically, the student grabbed a Chicago Police Department officer's hands to prevent them from arresting another individual and obstructed a peace officer during an on-campus event. The ADC concluded the student was responsible for behavior that threatens the health and safety of others and general misconduct. The ADC issued disciplinary suspension for six quarters. The student requested a review of the ADC's decision, but it did not meet the criteria for review.
8. A student was charged with plagiarism and academic dishonesty. The ADC concluded the student was not responsible.
9. Two students were charged with cheating and academic dishonesty, specifically the students cheated on a final exam and homework assignments. The ADC concluded the students were responsible and issued disciplinary probation for eight quarters. One student requested a review of the ADC's decision, but it did not meet the criteria for review.

The **Chicago Booth School of Business** held five disciplinary hearings involving five students. Four students were found responsible for violating University Policy and one student was determined to be not responsible. Three students submitted a request for review but did not meet the criteria for convening a Review Board.

10. A student was charged with violating the Booth Honor Code and plagiarism/cheating on an exam, specifically the student used AI to complete a final exam. The ADC concluded the student was responsible and issued disciplinary suspension for three quarters and disciplinary probation for one quarter upon reenrollment. The student requested a review of the ADC's decision, but it did not meet the criteria for review.
11. A student was charged with violating the Booth Honor Code and plagiarism/cheating on an exam, specifically the student used ChatGPT to formulate answers for a class final. The ADC concluded the student was not responsible.
12. A student was charged with violating the Booth Honor Code and plagiarism/cheating on an exam, specifically the student used AI to complete a final exam. The ADC concluded the student was responsible and issued disciplinary suspension for two quarters and disciplinary probation for one quarter upon reenrollment. The student requested a review of the ADC's decision, but it did not meet the criteria for review.
13. A student was charged with violating the Booth Honor Code and plagiarism/cheating on an exam, specifically the student used AI to complete a final exam. The ADC concluded the student was responsible and issued disciplinary suspension for two quarters and disciplinary probation for one quarter upon reenrollment.
14. A student was charged with violating the Booth Honor Code and falsification or misrepresentation of University documents, specifically the student misrepresented information on their application for admission. The ADC concluded the student was responsible and issued disciplinary expulsion. The student requested a review of the ADC's decision, but it did not meet the criteria for review.

The **Harris School of Public Policy** held four disciplinary hearings involving three students. The ADC concluded all three students were responsible for violating University Policy. Three students submitted a request for review. Two requests did not meet the criteria for convening a Review Board and one student withdrew their request for review.

15. A student was charged with academic dishonesty and violating the Harris Academic Honesty Policy, specifically the student used a copied problem set on the final without proper citation. The ADC concluded that the student was responsible and issued a warning. The student requested a review of the ADC's decision, but it did not meet the criteria for review.
16. A student was charged with violating the Harris Academic Honesty Policy, specifically the student accessed a previous version of a final exam while completing a current version of the final exam. The ADC concluded that the student was responsible and issued disciplinary probation through graduation. The student requested a review of the ADC's decision, but it did not meet the criteria for review.

17. A student was charged with falsification of University documents and violating an administrative department’s regulations, specifically the student falsified the following documents; an application for internship funding, a request to reverse tax withholding, an application for emergency scholarship, and hours worked for University jobs. The ADC concluded the student was responsible and issued disciplinary expulsion. The student requested a review of the ADC’s decision, but it did not meet the criteria for review.
18. A student was charged with falsification of University documents and violating an administrative department’s regulations, specifically the student falsified documents related to internship funding. The ADC concluded that the student was responsible and issued disciplinary suspension for one quarter. The student requested a review of the ADC’s decision, but it did not meet the criteria for review.

Table 1. Student matters referred to area disciplinary committees, 2009-10 to 2024-25

| Year | College/ Academic Matter | College/ Other Matter | Graduate/ Academic Matter | Graduate/ Other Matter | Total |
|----------------|---|--------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|--------------|
| <i>2009-10</i> | 2 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 16 |
| <i>2010-11</i> | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 13 |
| <i>2011-12</i> | 4 | 9 | 10 | 2 | 25 |
| <i>2012-13</i> | 1 | 9 | 5 | 2 | 17 |
| <i>2013-14</i> | 2 | 12 | 6 | 3 | 23 |
| <i>2014-15</i> | 7 | 21 | 6 | 1 | 35 |
| <i>2015-16</i> | 19 | 16 | 28 | 4 | 67 |
| <i>2016-17</i> | 15 | 7 | 7 | 1 | 30 |
| <i>2017-18</i> | 9 | 3 | 7 | 4 | 23 |
| <i>2018-19</i> | 0 | 3 | 12 | 0 | 15 |
| <i>2019-20</i> | 24 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 32 |
| <i>2020-21</i> | 19 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 32 |
| <i>2021-22</i> | 27 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 38 |
| <i>2022-23</i> | 18 | 7 | 7 | 2 | 34 |
| <i>2023-24</i> | 25 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 30 |
| <i>2024-25</i> | 4 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 18 |

II. University-wide Student Disciplinary System

Campus and Student Life reports to the Council on matters referred to the [University-wide Student Disciplinary System](#) for conduct involving alleged violations of the University Policy on Discrimination, Harassment and Sexual Misconduct.

Between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025, the University-wide Disciplinary Committee (Committee) was convened on three occasions to consider allegations brought against three students. None of the students involved in these matters requested a review of the Committee’s decision.

1. A College student was referred to the Committee for an allegation of Title IX sexual harassment and sexual assault of another College student, specifically the respondent touched the complainant’s genitals over their clothes and engaged in non-consensual sexual activity. The Committee concluded the respondent was not responsible.

2. A College student was referred to the Committee for an allegation of stalking, sexual assault, and dating violence associated with a non-University affiliated individual. Specifically, the respondent physically, emotionally, and sexually abused the complainant while they were dating. After the two parties ended the relationship, the respondent stalked the complainant. The Committee concluded the respondent was responsible for stalking and issued disciplinary suspension for four quarters, disciplinary probation until graduation upon reenrollment, an intake with a therapist, and participation in an educational program.

3. A College student was referred to the Committee for an allegation of sexual abuse and sexual assault of another College student, specifically the respondent engaged in non-consensual sexual activity with the complainant. The Committee concluded the respondent was responsible for sexual abuse and issued disciplinary probation for eight quarters, priority registration for the complainant, and quarterly meetings with a case manager.

Table 2. Student matters referred to the University-wide Student Disciplinary Committee, 2014-15 to 2024-25¹

| Year | College | Graduate Divisions/Schools | Total |
|----------------|----------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|
| <i>2014-15</i> | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| <i>2015-16</i> | 4 | 1 | 5 |
| <i>2016-17</i> | 9 | 3 | 12 |
| <i>2017-18</i> | 9 | 3 | 12 |
| <i>2018-19</i> | 5 | 4 | 9 |
| <i>2019-20</i> | 4 | 2 | 6 |
| <i>2020-21</i> | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| <i>2021-22</i> | 9 | 2 | 11 |
| <i>2022-23</i> | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| <i>2023-24</i> | 5 | 2 | 7 |
| <i>2024-25</i> | 3 | 0 | 3 |

¹ Table 2 displays student matters based on the affiliation of the respondent (i.e., as a student in the College or in one of the graduate divisions/professional schools

III. University Disciplinary System for Disruptive Conduct

Starting in 2017-18, Campus and Student Life reports annually to the Council on matters referred to the [University Disciplinary System for Disruptive Conduct](#), which was established in 2017 and addresses conduct involving alleged violations by students of University Statute 21.

Between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025, the University-wide Standing Committee on Disruptive Conduct (USCDC) was not convened.

Table 3. Student matters referred to the University-wide Standing Committee on Disruptive Conduct, 2017-18 to 2024-25

| Year | College | Graduate Divisions/Schools | Recognized Student Organization | Total |
|---------|---------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|-------|
| 2017-18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2018-19 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 2019-20 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 2020-21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2021-22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2022-23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2023-24 | 1* | 1* | 2 | 3 |
| 2024-25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

*One matter involved both college and graduate students

IV. Administrative Disciplinary Action

University Policy allows for the administrative resolution of certain disciplinary matters when the student(s) involved agree to the proposed resolution of the incident(s) in question. The table below represents the number of times administrative action was taken.

Table 4. Student matters resolved through administrative action, 2021-23 to 2024-25

| Year | College/ Academic Matter | College/ Other Matter | Graduate/ Academic Matter | Graduate/ Other Matter | Disruptive Conduct | Total |
|---------|--------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|-------|
| 2021-22 | 74 | 5 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 133 |
| 2022-23 | 118 | 4 | 38 | 6 | 4 | 170 |
| 2023-24 | 74 | 15 | 33 | 3 | 0 | 125 |
| 2024-25 | 81 | 13 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 133 |