

Figure 1. Complaints Reviewed by the Independent Review Committee, 2010-11

CR Number	Filing Date; Decision Rendered Date	Complainant Race/Gender/Age/Affiliation*	Allegation	UCPD Disposition	IRC Disposition
2010-07	9/30/09; 6/16/10	B/M/28/staff	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The complainant alleged that an officer racially profiled him by shining a spotlight in his face to determine his identity and what he was doing. 2. The complainant alleged that the officer failed to provide his name and badge number when requested. 3. The complainant alleged that the supervisor to whom he reported the above allegations over the phone failed to process a formal complaint. 4. The complainant alleged that his second attempt to complain to another supervisor failed to result in a formal resolution to his complaint. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Unfounded 2. Not sustained 3. Sustained 4. Sustained 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Not sustained 2. Not sustained 3. Sustained 4. Sustained
2010-08	3/9/10; 5/17/10	W/F/30/Staff	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Outside IRC purview 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Not sustained 2. Sustained 3. Sustained 4. Not sustained 5. Not sustained 6. Sustained 	
2010-09	5/20/10; 8/4/10	W/M/62/Community	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The complainant alleged that the officer was rude and unprofessional when the complainant informed him of an accident that had just occurred. 2. The complainant alleged that the officer told the complainant to "mind his own business; it's not your property." 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Not sustained 2. Not sustained 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Unfounded 2. Unfounded

2010-10	6/3/10; unconcluded due to lack of forwarding address	Unk/M/Unk/ Community	<p>1. The complainant alleged that the officer yelled at him and used unspecified profanity during the course of the on-scene investigation.</p> <p>2. The complainant alleged that the officer did not conduct his investigation properly and professionally.</p>	<p>1. Unfounded</p> <p>2. Unfounded</p>	<p>Since no investigation was conducted and no determinations reached, the IRC does not consider Unfounded a sound conclusion.</p>
2010-11	6/10/10; 8/3/10	A/M/Unk/Alumnus	<p>1. The complainant alleged that the officers failed to conduct a warranted and impartial investigation when they asked the complainant for his diver's license, which humiliated and embarrassed him.</p>	<p>1. Unfounded</p>	<p>1. Unfounded</p>
2010-12	7/13/10; 9/24/10	B/M/49/ Community	<p>1. The complainant alleged that the officer raised his voice and verbally abused him when telling him to "shut up."</p> <p>2. The complainant also alleged that the offer improperly barred him from coming back to the Medical Center as a result of this incident.</p>	<p>1. Unfounded</p> <p>2. Unfounded</p>	<p>1. Exonerated</p> <p>2. Unfounded</p>
2010-13	7/28/10; 9/8/10	Unk/M/Unk/ Community	<p>1. The complainant alleged that the officer used abusive and threatening language when he told the complainant that if he stepped outside the restaurant, the officer would shoot him.</p>	<p>1. Unfounded</p>	<p>Since no investigation was conducted and no determinations reached, the IRC does not consider Unfounded a sound conclusion.</p>

2010-14	8/6/10; 9/30/10	B/M/Unk/Staff	1. The complainant alleged that ththe sergeant failed to appropriately investigate the allegations of misconduct related to an incident that occurred at the Regenstein Library (Reference CR 2010-06 on 24 February 2010.	1. Unfounded	The IRC does not consider UCPD's investigation responsive to the substance of the allegation.
2010-15	10/13/10; 11/1/10	B/M/Unk/Staff	1. Outside IRC purview 2. Outside IRC purview	1. Unfounded 2. Sustained	
2010-16	9/2/10; 9/8/10	Unk/F/33/ Community	1. Outside IRC purview	1. Outside UCPD purview	
2010-17	10/25/10; 12/7/10	B/M/43/ Community	1. The complainant alleged that the sergeant used and directed profane language toward the complainant during the incident. 2. The complainant alleged that the sergeant used a restraining move (a "nelson") that was excessive and unnecessary.	1. Not sustained 2. Sustained	1. Not sustained 2. Sustained
2010-18	10/25/10; 12/16/10	W/M/Unk/ Community	1. The complainant alleged that the officer, after identifying himself as a police officer, acted in an unprofessional, rude, and uncooperative manner while directing profane language at the complainant and several witnesses during and off-duty incident that occurred at Soldier Field whle the complainat was attempting to conduct a ticket mail fraud investigation.	1. Not sustained	1. Not sustained
2010-19	10/28/10; 12/7/12	Unk/M/57/Staff	1. Outside IRC purview 2. Outside IRC purview	1. Not sustained 2. Not sustained	
2010-20	11/20/10; 12/23/10	B/F/65/Community	1. The complainant alleged that the sergeant never identified himself to her. 2. The complainant alleged that the sergeant never provided the reason for or the nature of the citizen contact after being repeatedly asked for that information.	1. Not sustained 2. Not sustained	1. Exonerated 2. Not sustained

2010-21	12/1/10; 1/3/11	B/F/55/Staff	1. The complainant alleged that she discovered the officer sleeping while on duty in the back room during her shift.	1. Unfounded	The IRC does not understand why this matter was not treated like a personnel matter and investigated accordingly given the seriousness of the allegation.
2010-22	12/13/10; 12/22/10	B/M/Unk/Staff	1. Outside IRC purview 2. Outside IRC purview 3. Outside IRC purview	1. Sustained 2. Sustained 3. Sustained	
2010-23	12/16/10; 1/24/11	B/F/Unk/Staff	1. Outside IRC purview 2. Outside IRC purview	1. Not sustained 2. Not sustained	

* Student, Staff, Academic, Community Member, Alumnus/a