

Figure 1. Complaints Reviewed by the Independent Review Committee, 2011-12

CR Number	Filing Date; Decision Rendered Date	Complainant Race/Gender/Age/Affiliation*	Allegation	UCPD Disposition	IRC Disposition
2011-01	1/12/11; 2/3/11	B/M	Complainant alleged that the accused officer violated his rights by asking him to leave the building.	Unfounded	Administratively Closed
2011-02	1/3/11; 3/17/11	B/M	<p>1. The complainant alleged that he was racially profiled when he was stopped by two accused UCPD officers and questioned about walking and carrying a laptop computer.</p> <p>2. The complainant alleged that when he asked the first accused officer for his badge number, he received only the accused officer's name.</p> <p>3. The complainant alleged that the first accused officer's driving by the bus stop was unnecessary and an intimidation tactic.</p> <p>4. Due to the fact that the third person in the UCPD vehicle was a sergeant, a supervisory position, the UCPD investigator alleged that she was culpable for the first accused officer's decision to stop and detain the complainant, thereby resulting in racial profiling.</p> <p>5. The UCPD investigator also alleged that the sergeant failed to take supervisory action, instead remaining in the vehicle while the situation escalated on the sidewalk.</p>	<p>1. Sustained for first accused officer/Not Sustained for second accused officer</p> <p>2. Sustained</p> <p>3. Not Sustained</p> <p>4. Sustained</p> <p>5. Sustained</p>	<p>1. Sustained/Not Sustained</p> <p>2. Sustained</p> <p>3. Not Sustained</p> <p>4. Sustained</p> <p>5. Sustained</p>
2011-03	3/5/11; 4/1/11	Unk/M	The complainant alleged that the accused officer was very unprofessional when she refused to take an accident report and jeopardized his safety when she let the other motorist drive away without showing a driver's license or proof of insurance.	Unfounded	Administratively Closed
2011-04	3/5/11; 4/1/11		Outside IRC purview	Unfounded	

			<p>1. Complainant I (driver) alleged that the first accused officer never properly informed her of the reason for the traffic stop.</p> <p>2. Complainant I alleged that the first accused officer violated her constitutional rights by keeping her for an extended amount of time.</p> <p>3. Complainant I alleged that both accused officers refused to identify themselves after she requested identification.</p> <p>4. Complainant I alleged that the second accused officer was unprofessional and demeaning when she directed the comment, "This is funny; this is entertaining," to the complainant.</p> <p>5. Complainant I alleged it was harassing and excessive for the first accused officer to call CPD to the scene.</p> <p>6. Complainant II (passenger) alleged that the two accused officers were unprofessional in their conduct and in how they treated her and her friend.</p> <p>7. Complainant II alleged that neither accused officer identified him- or herself in response to her request.</p>	<p>1. Not Sustained</p> <p>2. Unfounded</p> <p>3. Not Sustained</p> <p>4. Not Sustained</p> <p>5. Exonerated</p> <p>6. Not Sustained</p> <p>7. Not Sustained</p>	<p>1. Not Sustained</p> <p>2. Not Sustained</p> <p>3. Not Sustained</p> <p>4. Not Sustained</p> <p>5. Exonerated</p> <p>6. Not Sustained</p> <p>7. Not Sustained</p>
2011-05	4/4/11; 5/18/11	B/F B/F			
2011-06	4/22/11; 5/11/11		Outside IRC purview	Sustained	
2011-07	4/27/11; 5/12/11		Outside IRC purview	Not Sustained	

2011-08	4/27/11; 6/29/11	B/M	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The complainant alleged that the accused UCPD officers racially profiled him when he was stopped.</li> <li>2. The complainant also alleged that his subsequent arrest by the two accused UCPD officers was unlawful.</li> <li>3. The complainant further alleged that the accused UCPD officers lied about the battery/assault charge to cover up the racial profiling.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Unfounded</li> <li>2. Unfounded</li> <li>3. Unfounded</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Not Sustained</li> <li>2. Unfounded</li> <li>3. Unfounded</li> </ol>
2011-09	6/11/11; 7/18/11	A/M	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The complainant alleged that the accused officer failed to provide adequate umbrella service when requested, thereby jeopardizing the complainant's safety and well-being.</li> <li>2. The complainant also alleged that the accused officer did not immediately provide him with her badge number and identification when he requested it, but instead questioned him why he needed that information.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Sustained</li> <li>2. Not Sustained</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Sustained</li> <li>2. Not Sustained</li> </ol>
2011-10	6/23/11; 7/8/11		Outside IRC purview	Sustained	
2011-11	7/8/11; 8/10/11		Outside IRC purview	Unfounded	
2011-12	7/15/11; 8/8/11	W/F	The complainant alleged that the accused UCPD officer was sleeping in a parked patrol vehicle.	Unfounded	Administratively Closed
2011-13	7/29/11; 9/7/11	B/M	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Complainant alleged that the accused officer demanded his driver's license and insurance card without providing an explanation of the stop.</li> <li>2. The complainant further alleged that the accused officer was rude and unprofessional when he requested identification and insurance information.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Unfounded</li> <li>2. Unfounded</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Administratively Closed</li> <li>2. Administratively Closed</li> </ol>

2011-14	8/10/11; 9/20/11	B/M	<p>1. The complainant alleged that the accused UCPD officer conducted an illegal search of his person by going into the complainant's pockets and retrieving his personal items, including his wallet, receipts, and cash.</p> <p>2. The complainant alleged that the accused officer took \$64 from complainant's pocket while looking for complainant's identification during the stop because after the stop, complainant's \$64 was missing.</p>	<p>1. Sustained</p> <p>2. Not Sustained</p>	<p>1. Sustained</p> <p>2. Not Sustained</p>
2011-15	8/26/11; 9/14/11		Outside IRC purview (2 allegations)	<p>1. Unfounded</p> <p>2. Sustained</p>	
2011-16	9/1/11; 9/7/11	Unk/M	The complainant alleged that the accused officer was unprofessional and verbally mistreated him when the complainant parked his car in a spot for someone with a disability without having the required placard showing that he could park there.	Unfounded	Administratively Closed
2011-17	9/8/11; 9/26/11	B/M	The complainant alleged that he was stopped unlawfully by the accused pair of accused officers, harassed, questioned, and threatened by both accused officers. The complainant further alleged that the officers stepped in front of his face, yelling and cursing, causing him to back himself against a wall as he was ordered to walk in the opposite direction of his destination.	Unfounded	Administratively Closed
2011-18	9/28/11; 10/20/11	B/M	The complainant alleged that his son was stopped unjustly and harassed by accused, unknown UCPD officers on two separate occasions on a given day.	Unfounded	Administratively Closed

2011-19	9/29/11; 11/8/11	B/M	The complainant alleged that he was unlawfully stopped by an accused unknown UCPD officer who acted unprofessionally and admitted that he stopped the complainant because of his race.	Unfounded	Administratively Closed
2011-20	10/11/11; 10/20/11		Outside IRC purview	1. Sustained 2. Sustained	
2011-21	10/18/11; 12/6/11	B/F	1. The complainant alleged that the accused UCPD officer was rude and unprofessional while conducting a traffic stop. 2. The complainant alleged that the accused UCPD officer failed to explain the traffic citation process when asked. 3. The complainant further alleged that the accused UCPD officer issued her a citation because of her race. 4. The complainant also alleged that she was kept at the scene for an inappropriately long time.	1. Not Sustained 2. Unfounded 3. Not Sustained 4. Not Sustained	1. Not Sustained 2. Unfounded 3. Not Sustained 4. Not Sustained

**Grounds for IRC Review - Complaints relating directly or indirectly to issues of:**

1. Excessive force; 2. Violation of rights; 3. Abusive Language; or 4. Dereliction of duty

**Dispositions:**

**Unfounded:** allegation is not factually accurate; alleged conduct did not occur.

**Exonerated:** alleged conduct did occur but was justified under the circumstances.

**Sustained:** alleged conduct did occur and was not justified under the circumstances

**Not Sustained:** written record of the investigation does not support a determination of whether alleged conduct occurred. A classification of "not sustained" is used whenever a case involves conflicting stories that are not clearly resolvable on the basis of evidence presented to the Committee.

**Administratively Closed:** no investigation was completed due to the fact that the complainant either (i) did not sign an affidavit for the investigation to proceed, a requirement of the State of Illinois for a citizen complaint investigation (except in an instance of alleged serious or criminal violation) or (ii) otherwise failed to cooperate with the investigation.